

Record of Proceedings dated 21.12.2019

O. P. No. 6 of 2015
&
I. A. No. 28 of 2015

M/s. Ruthwik Power Projects Ltd. Vs. TSNPDCL

Petition filed seeking directions to the licensee for payment of tariff for the additional capacity of 1.5 MW at the rate being paid to existing 6 MW power point.

I.A. filed seeking payment of tariff for the additional capacity.

Sri. Deepak Chowdary, Advocate representing Sri. Challa Gunaranjan, Counsel for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondent are present. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the petitioner is yet to receive the communication about accepting of additional capacity and therefore sought time. The counsel for the respondent stated that on the earlier occasion, the Commission directed the petitioner to state as to whether the DISCOM is willing to procure the additional capacity at the same tariff. If agreed, it would withdraw the petition.

The Commission directs that the correct position in the matter be stated by the next date of hearing. Accordingly adjourned.

Call on 04.01.2020 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-
Member (F)

Sd/-
Member (T)

Sd/-
Chairman

O. P. No. 32 of 2015
&
I. A. No. 5 of 2015

M/s. Tata Power Trading Company Ltd. Vs. TSDISCOMs

Petition filed questioning the illegal, unilateral and wrong deduction of Rs. 9,72,00,000/- and Rs. 96,48,000/- towards illegal compensation claim for supply of short term power.

I.A. filed seeking release of Rs. 9,72,00,000/- and Rs. 96,48,000/- in lieu of bank guarantee for corresponding amounts.

Ms. Madhuri, Advocate representing Sri. Avinash Desai, Senior Counsel for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents are present. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the matter is pending before the Hon'ble High Court and there is a stay of proceedings. As soon as the stay is vacated, the petitioner will file a memo for hearing. The counsel for the respondents stated that apart from stay the matter involved jurisdiction issue also. As the Hon'ble High Court already decided the matter on the jurisdiction, the matter may be adjourned for ascertaining the correct status. Accordingly adjourned.

Call on 04.04.2020 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-
Member (F)

Sd/-
Member (T)

Sd/-
Chairman

O. P. No. 51 of 2015
&
I. A. No. 25 of 2015

M/s. Nile Limited Vs. APDISCOMs, TSSPDCL & APSPDCL

Petition filed seeking directions to the respondents for payment of monthly power bills.

I.A. filed seeking amendment of title in the original petition.

Sri. Deepak Chowdary, Advocate representing Sri. Challa Gunaranjan, Counsel for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents are present. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the matter involved payment of amounts and therefore, an I. A. is also filed for amendment of the title in the original petition. The counsel for the respondents stated that the matter involved jurisdiction, as also payment of amounts. He would ascertain the correct position on the issue and place the same before the Commission on the next date of hearing. Accordingly adjourned.

Call on 04.04.2020 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-
Member (F)

Sd/-
Member (T)

Sd/-
Chairman

O. P. No. 2 of 2016

M/s. Ultra Tech Cement Limited Vs. TSSPDCL & its officers

Petition filed questioning the action of DISCOMs in not implementing the order of CGRF.

Sri. Deepak Chowdary, Advocate representing Sri. Challa Gunaranjan, Counsel for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents are present. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the petition is for implementation of the orders of CGRF. The counsel for the respondent stated that they have filed writ petition before the Hon'ble High Court, which was dismissed and further writ appeal, which has been allowed and the matter was remanded to the single judge. Both counsel stated that as the matter is pending before the Hon'ble High Court, the matter may be adjourned for the time being. Accordingly adjourned.

Call on 22.02.2020 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-
Member (F)

Sd/-
Member (T)

Sd/-
Chairman

O. P. No. 10 of 2016

TSDISCOMs Vs. –Nil-

Petition filed seeking consent for power purchase agreement in respect of 2 x 800 MW of Telangana Super Thermal Power Project, Phase – I by NTPC.

Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the petitioners is present. He stated that the Commission required the petitioners to undertake amendment of the agreement by way of interim order and also conveyed the same in its letter addressed in December, 2018. The Commission directed that further steps will be taken only after receipt of amended agreement in the matter. He would ascertain the latest status in the matter and place it before the Commission. They have to submit the latest position by way of a memo.

The Commission pointed out that the matter was considered and examined by undertaking public hearing and pursuant thereto, interim order had been passed. Even now also upon submission of the status, the Commission will be required to undertake the same route of public hearing for deciding the matter. Accordingly adjourned.

Call on 04.01.2020 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-
Member (F)

Sd/-
Member (T)

Sd/-
Chairman

O. P. No. 20 of 2016
&
I. A. No. 13 of 2016

M/s. Sugna Metals Limited Vs. DE (Operation) TSSPDCL & officers.

Petition filed questioning action of DISCOMs in not implementing the order of CGRF and to punish the licensee under section 142 of the Act, 2003.

I.A. filed seeking non-compliance of various orders of CGRF and the Ombudsman.

Sri. V. Ramesh Babu, Advocate representing Sri. N. Vinesh Raj, Counsel for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents are present. The counsel for the respondents stated that the respondents have approached the Hon'ble High Court and the matter is pending consideration. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the matter is relating to implementation of order of the CGRF and he would ascertain the latest position and place it before the Commission on the next date of hearing. Accordingly adjourned.

Call on 18.01.2020 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-
Member (F)

Sd/-
Member (T)

Sd/-
Chairman

O. P. No. 21 of 2016

Sri. Akthar Ahmed Vs. CGRF-2, ADE (Operation), Shamshabad, TSSPDCL, DE (O) & SE (O), TSSPDCL.

Petition filed questioning action of DISCOMs in not implementing the order of CGRF and to punish the licensee under section 142 of the Act, 2003.

Sri. V. Ramesh Babu, Advocate representing Sri. N. Vinesh Raj, Counsel for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents are present. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the CGRF had ordered for shifting of the line, which is passing through the premises of the petitioner and the same is yet to be implemented. The counsel for the respondents stated that the Commission directed payment of the amount for undertaking shifting of line, for which the petitioner is not coming forward. The counsel for the petitioner sought time to ascertain the factual position in the matter. Accordingly adjourned.

Call on 18.01.2020 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-
Member (F)

Sd/-
Member (T)

Sd/-
Chairman

O. P. No. 27 of 2016

M/s. Sugna Metals Limited Vs. DE (Operation) TSSPDCL & officers.

Petition filed questioning action of DISCOMs in not implementing the order of CGRF and to punish the licensee under section 142 of the Act, 2003.

Sri. V. Ramesh Babu, Advocate representing Sri. N. Vinesh Raj, Counsel for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the respondents are present. The counsel for the respondents stated that the respondents have approached the Hon'ble High Court and the matter is pending consideration. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the matter is relating to implementation of order of the CGRF and he would ascertain the latest position and place it before the Commission on the next date of hearing. Accordingly adjourned.

Call on 18.01.2020 at 11.00 A.M.

Sd/-
Member (F)

Sd/-
Member (T)

Sd/-
Chairman

O. P. No. 6 of 2019

TSDISCOMs Vs. M/s. PTC India Ltd., & M/s. RKM Powergen Pvt. Ltd.

Petition filed seeking approval of the tariff discovered in the bidding process and consent to PSA.

Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the petitioners and Sri. Ravi Shankar, AVP and Sri Harish Saran, ED for the respondent No. 1 and Sri. Mohan Menon, representative for the 2nd respondent are present. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the petitioners are seeking approval of the tariff discovered by the 2nd respondent through competitive bidding. The representatives of the respondents stated that the Commission may approve the tariff as also the agreement. It is their case that unless approval is given, they will not be able to apply for coal linkage by 23.12.2019. Hence, there is urgency in the matter.

Having heard the submissions of the parties, the matter is reserved for orders.

Sd/-
Member (F)

Sd/-
Member (T)

Sd/-
Chairman